



# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

# **Sydinvest**





## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the <a href="PRI website">PRI website</a>, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the reporting period specified above. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information.

### PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

# Usage restrictions

Public Transparency Reports are the intellectual property of PRI. Under no circumstances, can this report or any of its contents be sold to third parties.



'	Walldatory	0.0	ateway/1 cernig	Gener
00 01.1	Select the services and funds you offer			
Select	the services and funds you offer	% of asset under manage	ement (AUM) in ranges	
	·	000		
		0 0%		
Fund m	nanagement	O <10%		
		O 10-50%		
		<b>✓</b> >50%		
		O 0%		
		O <10%		
Fund o	f funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	s <b>✓</b> 10-50%		
		O >50%		
		A 000		
		<b>✓</b> 0%		
Other		O <10%		
		O 10-50%		
		O >50%		
Total 1	00%			
2	Mandatory	Pe	eering	Gene
00 02.1	Select the location of your organisation's h	neadquarters.		
Denmar				
00 02.2	Indicate the number of countries in which	you have offices (including y	your headquarters).	
<b>v</b> 1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
O 2-5				
0 6-10				
O >10				
00 02.3	Indicate the approximate number of staff i	n your organisation in full-tir	me equivalents (FTE).	
20				
00 02.4	Additional information. [Optional]			
	st is an independent investment fund established in 1			
	ly Syd Fund Management A/S, which is company maj listed Danish bank and asset manager. The employe			
	to the investment advisor teams employed by Sydbar			
included	d) there are c.f. 65 employees including supporting sta	aff.		
3	Mandatory	De	escriptive	Gene
00 03.1	Indicate whether you have subsidiaries wit	thin your organisation that a	re also PRI signatories in their own righ	nt.
O Yes				
✓ No				
	Mandatan		(D	0.00
1	Mandatory	Ga	ateway/Peering	Gene
00 04.1	Indicate the year end date for your reporting	ng year.		
31/12/2	2019			
00 04.2	Indicate your total AUM at the end of your	reporting year.		
Total AL				
52,22	27,232,938 DKK			
7705	5592884 USD			
00 04.4	Indicate the assets which are subject to ar	n execution and/or advisory	approach. Provide this figure based on	the end of your report
_	year			
<b>V</b>	Not applicable as we do not have any assets under ex	xecution and/or advisory app	proach	
00 04.5	Additional information. [Optional]			
Assets (	under management account for assets in Syd Fund M	Management only. It does no	t include additional assets managed dis	scretionary by Sydbanl
	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	G	ateway	Gene

Provide an approximate percentage breakdown of your AUM at the end of your reporting year using the following asset classes and investment strategies: Externally managed (%) Internally managed (%) 0 Listed equity Fixed income >50% 0 Private equity 0 0 0 0 Property 0 Infrastructure 0 Commodities 0 0 Hedge funds 0 0 0 0 Fund of hedge funds Forestry 0 0 Farmland 0 0 Inclusive finance 0 0 Cash 0 0 Money market instruments 0 0 Other (1), specify 0 Other (2), specify 0 0 Mandatory O as percentage breakdown  $\checkmark$  as broad ranges Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional]. O Yes 00 06.5  $\mathsf{O}$  Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to 00 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.  $\checkmark$  No, we do not use fiduciary managers. General Private General Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market. 50 Developed Markets **Emerging Markets** Frontier Markets General Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year. Listed equity – engagement

	_	s via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ire external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.	
	Listed equity – voting		
	✓ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dec	dicated voting providers	
	_	nd do not require external managers to vote on our behalf	
	Fixed income SSA – engag	gement	
	_	SG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. equire external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Pl	ease explain why you do
	Fixed income Corporate (n	non-financial) – engagement	
	✓ We engage with companies on ESG fact	tors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.	
	☐ We do not engage directly and do not re	equire external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please e	xplain why you do not.
		tors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.	
	_	equire external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please e	xplain why you do not.
00 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
00 11	.1 Select the internally managed ass active ownership practices (during	set classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment de g the reporting year).	cisions and/or your
	Listed equity		
	✓ We address ESG incorporation.		
	O We do not do ESG incorporation.		
	Fixed income - SSA		
	✓ We address ESG incorporation.		
	O We do not do ESG incorporation.		
	Fixed income - corporate (nor	n-financial)	
	✓ We address ESG incorporation.		
	O We do not do ESG incorporation.		
	Fixed income - securitised		
	<ul><li>We address ESG incorporation.</li><li>We do not do ESG incorporation.</li></ul>		
	·		
00 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
00 12		or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset cl ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted in	
	Core modules		
	☑ Organisational Overview		
	Strategy and Governance		
	RI implementation directly or	via service providers	
	Direct - Listed Equity inco	orporation	
	☑ Listed Equity incorporation		
	Direct - Listed Equity acti	ive ownership	
	<b>☑</b> Engagements		
	<b>☑</b> (Proxy) voting		
	Direct - Fixed Income		
	Fixed income - SSA		
	<ul><li>✓ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial</li><li>✓ Fixed income - Securitised</li></ul>	aıj	
	Closing module  ✓ Closing module		
1 1 1	_ Globing filodate		

00	LE 0	1	Ma	ndatory to Report, Vo	untary to Disclose	Gateway	General
	00	LE 01.1		Provide a breakdo - other strategies.	wn of your internally managed listed equities by	passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental	and active
		4					
				Passive			
		0		A skins on	and the stime ( and a stime )		
		96		Active - qu	antitative (quant)		
				Active - fur	damental and active - other		
00	LE 0	2	Ma	ndatory to Report, Vo	luntary to Disclose	Gateway	General
	Priva	te					
00	FI 01	l	Ma	ndatory to Report, Vo	luntary to Disclose	Gateway	General
	00	FI 01.1		Provide a breakdo	wn of your internally managed fixed income sect	urities by active and passive strategies	
					Descrive		
				0	Passive		
		SSA			Active - quantitative (quant)		
				0			
				100	Active - fundamental and active - other		
				0	Passive		
		Corpora (non-	te		Active - quantitative (quant)		
		financia	ıl)	0			
				100	Active - fundamental and active - other		
				100			
					Passive		
				0	Asting any stitution (supply)		
		Securitis	sed	0	Active - quantitative (quant)		
					Active - fundamental and active - other		
				100			
00	FI 03	3	Ма	ndatory		Descriptive	General
	00	FI 03.1		Indicate the appro	ximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investme	ents, by developed markets and emerging markets.	
				Dave	land markets		
		SSA	15	Deve	loped markets		
		00,1		Eme	rging markets		
			85				
	00	FI 03.2		Indicate the appro securities.	ximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate an	d securitised investments by investment grade or high-yiel	d
		Type II	nvest	ment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%) Total internally managed		
00	Chec	cks					Checks
	•	If there	are a	ny messages below, p	lease review them before continuing. If there are	e no messages below, please save this page and continue.	

SG 01		Mandatory		Core Assessed	General
SG	01.1	Indic	ate if you have an investment policy that covers yo	our responsible investment approach.	
	✔ Ye		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	• 10				
	S	G 01.2	Indicate the components/types and coverage o	f your policy.	
		Policy compo	onents/types	Coverage by AUM	
		✓ Policy	setting out your overall approach		
		☐ Forma	lised guidelines on environmental factors		
		☐ Forma	lised guidelines on social factors		
		☐ Forma	lised guidelines on corporate governance factors		
		☐ Fiducia	ary (or equivalent) duties	✓ Applicable policies cover all AUM	
		_	class-specific RI guidelines	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM	
		_	specific RI guidelines	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM	
		_	ning / exclusions policy		
		<b>✓</b> Other,	specify (1)		
		_	Ginclusion in EMD FI		
		Other,	specify(2)		
	S	G 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the	ne following	
		✓ Your organ	lisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible inve		
		_	tment objectives that take ESG factors/real econo		
		☐ Time horizo	on of your investment		
		<b>☑</b> Governanc	e structure of organisational ESG responsibilities		
		<b>☑</b> ESG incorp	poration approaches		
		🗹 Active own	ership approaches		
		<b>☑</b> Reporting			
		Climate ch	ange		
			ding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustaina	ability preferences	
			onsiderations, specify (1)		
		☐ Other RI co	onsiderations, specify (2)		
	S	G 01.4	Describe your organisation's investment princip duties, and how they consider ESG factors and r	oles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of eal economy impact.	fiduciary (or equivalent)
		governance an	responsible investor. We are mindful that issues co d sustainable institutions (ESG) must be taken into evestment decisions and we work actively towards	oncerning human rights, the environment, proper soc o account across all types of securities in which we in ensuring that entities and countries in which we inve	nvest. Therefore, we integrate
		portfolios for E		s with multiple ESG-efforts that c.f. allows for an add tment criteria. For instance to promote sustainability is, sectors or companies.	
		research using Being an active	both quantitative models and qualitative informat	acroeconomic allocation with bottom-up security sel tion. Hence, our fundamental investment philosophy esearch and it entails that we continuously take a cri shared sustainable future.	is based on active ownership.
	S	G 01.5	Provide a brief description of the key elements, responsible investment approach. [Optional]	any variations or exceptions to your investment polic	y that covers your
		instance in the own responsib designed within	case of a government that issues bonds, it is still   le investment processes regarding government bo n the overall ESG policy and minimum criteria. In a	nt types of assets invested in. Even if active ownersh possible to incorporate the spirit of the UN Global Co ands. In the case of passive portfolios, which is a new ddition, some portfolios, both passive and active, have by avoiding investments into fossil fuel exploration, e	mpact when determining our v product area, all strategies are ve specific sustainability
	O No				
SG 01 C	C	Mandatory	to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Descriptive	General
Priva	ate				
SG 02		Mandatory		Core Assessed	PRI 6
SG	02.1	Indic	ate which of your investment policy documents (if	f any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an at	tachment of the document.
	<b>☑</b> Po	licy setting out y	our overall approach		

	URL/Attachment		
1 .	<b>☑</b> URL		
	https://www.sydinvest.dk/ansvarlighed/pri-	-samfundsansvar.aspx	
(	☐ Attachment		
☐ Clim	ate change		
<b>☑</b> Und∈	erstanding and incorporating client / beneficiary	y sustainability preferences	
	URL/Attachment		
	▼ URL		
	https://www.sydinvest.dk/fonde/verden-lige	ev%C3%A6gt-value-etik aspx	
	☐ Attachment		
☐ We d	do not publicly disclose any investment policy co	omponents	
SG 02.3	Additional information [Optional].		
		policy descriptions as well as to specific examples of products that include	des sustainahility
	ces from clients (e.g. exclusion of tobacco, alco		ues sustainability
03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	Gene
SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a po	licy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process	5.
✔ Yes			
		ging potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.	(0.15
		interest may arise, eg in relation to group relations, competitors or clients neral meeting show the existence of a conflict of interest, Syd Fund Manac	
	, , , ,	ne to decide how the Funds will manage this conflict of interest.	-
		of interest will always govern the manner in which the committee tackles conflicts of interests can arise and how to deal with them in our client's be	
O No	general policy outlines all the situations where c	John Cts of Interests can alise and now to deal with them in our clients be	est interest.
O NO			
04	Voluntary	Descriptive	Gene
Private			
05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	Gene
SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your or	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
	Indicate if and how frequently your or terly or more frequently	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
	terly or more frequently	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
O Quar	terly or more frequently	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu	terly or more frequently	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu	terly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h	terly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h	terly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis	ganisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment act	ivities.
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is i	terly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsib	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen	nt teams as well as fro
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is n  SG 05.2  Sydinves external	nually  ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] at conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsib	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only a	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is n  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaind	nually  ually  frequently than annually  oc basis  not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional]  st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsit ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, sucher of the year. The review includes an evaluation	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is I  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaind- products	reterly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsib ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation s etc.	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only an n reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by i n of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new repor	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is n  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaindi products	nually  ually  frequently than annually  oc basis  not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional]  st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsit ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, sucher of the year. The review includes an evaluation	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only a n reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by i	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is I  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaind- products  06  Private	retrly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at suances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation elect.  Voluntary	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only an n reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by i n of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new repor	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is n  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaindi products	reterly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsib ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation s etc.	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only an n reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by i n of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new repor	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is I  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaind- products  06  Private	nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at suances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation elect.  Voluntary  Mandatory	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investmen uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only an n reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by i n of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new repor	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is I SG 05.2 Sydinves external circumst remaind- products  06  Private	nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at suances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation elect.  Voluntary  Mandatory	ple Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investment uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only at a reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by in of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new report Descriptive    Descriptive   Core Assessed   Core As	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is I SG 05.2 Sydinves external circumst remaind- products  06  Private	nually  ually  frequently than annually  oc basis  not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional]  st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation e etc.  Voluntary  Mandatory  Indicate the internal and/or external responsite to the second control of the second c	ple Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investment uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only at a reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by in of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new report Descriptive    Descriptive   Core Assessed   Core As	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is i  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remainde products  06  Private  07  SG 07.1	reterly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation setc.  Voluntary  Mandatory  Indicate the internal and/or external r implementation responsibilities for re	ple Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investment uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only at a reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by in of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new report Descriptive    Descriptive   Core Assessed   Core As	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is i  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remainde products  06  Private  07  SG 07.1	reterly or more frequently nually ually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at stances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation setc.  Voluntary  Mandatory  Indicate the internal and/or external reimplementation responsibilities for re  Roles  Board members or trustees	ple Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investment uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only at a reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by in of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new report Descriptive    Descriptive	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is i  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumst remaind products  06  Private  07  SG 07.1	reterly or more frequently nually sually frequently than annually oc basis not set/reviewed  Additional information. [Optional] st conducts quarterly meetings in the Responsite ESG partners. Tactical decisions are taken at su tances require an ad hoc update. Typically, such er of the year. The review includes an evaluation setc.  Voluntary  Mandatory  Indicate the internal and/or external r implementation responsibilities for re  Roles  Board members or trustees	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investment uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only an reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by in of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new reportion Descriptive  Core Assessed  Toles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have exponsible investment.	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene
O Quar O Bian ✓ Annu O Less O Ad-h O It is i  SG 05.2  Sydinves external circumsti remainde products  06  Private  07  SG 07.1	Indicate the internal and/or external rimplementation responsibilities for re  Roles  Board members or trustees  Oversight/accountability for responsible investments used in the seponsible investments.	ole Investment Committee to evaluate reports from the various investment uch meetings while a review of ESG policies and objectives happen only an reviews happens in the first and second quarter of the year followed by in of progress of current and recent ESG initiatives, for example new reportion Descriptive  Core Assessed  Toles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have exponsible investment.	nt teams as well as fro nnually unless implementation in the rting, new ESG-orienta Gene

			Select from the below internal roles
		$\checkmark$	Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
			✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
			✓ Implementation of responsible investment
			☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
			Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
		<b>⊻</b>	Portfolio managers
			Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
			✓ Implementation of responsible investment
			☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
			Investment analysts  Dedicated responsible investment staff
		•	
			✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
			<ul> <li>✓ Implementation of responsible investment</li> <li>☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment</li> </ul>
			Investor relations
		•	
			✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
			☐ Implementation of responsible investment ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
			Other role, specify (1)
		_	Other role, specify (1)  Other role, specify (2)
	₹		al managers or service providers
		_	
			Oversight/accountability for responsible investment  mplementation of responsible investment
		_	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
00.0	7.0		
SG 07	1.2		For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
			ses active ownership as regards all companies in which it has invested. In addition we analyse and monitor on an ongoing basis ESG issues in the countries invested in. Collectively we call this active and responsible ownership.
be	etween	Sydbar	Il terms active ownership is the responsibility of Sydinvest' ESG organisation, which has been established as an intra-organisational body ak Asset Management (division of Sydbank A/S) and Syd Fund Management (SFM). Employees in these two entities are responsible for and concerning sustainability while safeguarding the interests of Sydbank and the investment funds.
de sp a in	evelopn pecial se quarter vestme	nent eff creenin or mor nts bas	the ESG organisation is to carry out the dedicated analysis of ESG-related risks regarding countries and companies, to coordinate ESG forts and finally to carry out the management task of ensuring that all investment portfolios comply with the stipulated ESG norms and any grequirements. At the top of the management hierarchy is the Responsible Investment Committee, (the RI Committee), which convenes once for frequently in case of special circumstances. At these meetings the committee discusses the current ESG status of the individual sed on reports from internal and external business partners. The meetings end with a decision regarding the delimitation of the investment ards companies and countries that cannot be included in the portfolios.
is	sues ar	nd wher	mpanies where Sydinvest knows of an elevated ESG risk and/or where it has reservations about a company's strategy, results or similar re an extraordinary dialogue with the company does not result in a more accommodating attitude to solve the problems, the RI Committee ecide whether the company will be added to the exclusion list and be sold.
			nmittee approves a recommended exclusion list concerning specific countries and their government bonds according to a traffic light model and green countries.
SG 07	7.3		Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
1			
SG 07	7.4		Additional information. [Optional]
ar	nalyst c	overing	er of emplyees refers to SFM only. There are 3 members of the RI Committee and within Sydbank A/S there is a dedicated ESG country If the sovereign screening research. In addition to that, the ESG organisation leverages on the partnership with the external servicepartner who offers direct access to analysts, engagement management experts and relationship managers.
G 07 CC		Man	datory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Descriptive General
Private			
SG 08		Volu	intary Additional Assessed General
Private			

G 09	M	1andatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
SG 09	.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which yo	our organisation is a member or in which it participated duri	ng the
		reporting year, and the role you played.		
✓	Principles	s for Responsible Investment		
		Vour organization's relating the initiative during the	unariad (aca dafinitiana)	
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting	period (see definitions)	
	Mode	erate		
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation	on's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]	
	We h	nave participated in the Nordic PRI Forum.		
	Asian Cor	rporate Governance Association		
	Australian	n Council of Superannuation Investors		
	AVCA: Su	ustainability Committee		
		ivest – La Commission ESG		
_		Responsible Investment Advisory Board		
		nate Change		
	CDP Fore			
	CDP Wate	er itute Centre for Financial Market Integrity		
		Action 100+		
		Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)		
_		of Institutional Investors (CII)		
_	Eumedior			
	Extractive	e Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)		
	ESG Rese	earch Australia		
	Invest Eu	rope Responsible Investment Roundtable		
	Global Inv	vestors Governance Network (GIGN)		
	Global Im	npact Investing Network (GIIN)		
	Global Re	eal Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)		
	Green Bo	and Principles		
		ESG Committee		
		nal Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)		
		Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)		
		onal Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)		
		Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC) onal Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)		
		Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES		
_		thority Pension Fund Forum		
		s for Financial Action in the 21st Century		
		s for Sustainable Insurance		
✓	Regional	or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA	), specify	
	Dansif			
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting	uporiod (coa definitions)	
	Mode	erate	period (see definitions)	
	1	ible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance		
		der Association for Research and Education (Share)		
		ations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)		
	United Na	ations Global Compact		
<b>⋖</b>	Other coll	llaborative organisation/initiative, specify		
	Is a me	ember of a group working with responsible investments under the Danis	sh Investment Fund Association.	
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting		
	Δdva	anced	) real (one definitions)	
	Auva		and a love of the country of the state of th	
	11	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation		
_	•	contributed to various papers on subjects related to responsible investr	HEIRS.	
<b>✓</b>	Other coll	llaborative organisation/initiative, specify		
	CFA-Sc	ociety Denmark / Finansforeningen		

☐ Oth	er collaborative organisation/initiative, specify er collaborative organisation/initiative, specify		
	Mandatory	Core Assessed	Р
G 10.1  ✓ Yes		onsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.	
SG		n has taken to promote responsible investment independently o ontributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typic	
	✓ Provided or supported education or training progra investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, inves	ammes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or street consultants, legal advisers etc.)	training may be for
	Description		
	Biannually our investment managers meet wit the field of responsible Investments.	h our service provider who evaluate our different emerging marl	ket funds and educa
	Frequency of contribution		
	O Quarterly or more frequently		
	<ul><li>✓ Biannually</li><li>○ Annually</li></ul>		
	O Less frequently than annually		
	O Ad hoc		
	O Other		
	Provided financial support for academic or industry	y research on responsible investment	
	Provided input and/or collaborated with academia	on RI related work	
		responsible investment practices across the investment indust	:ry
	Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promo	ote responsible investment	
	Description		
	· ·	we work with responsible investments and in November the sa	
	funds. In November we made a presentation a arranged by Sustainalytics.	and participated in a panel debate on the subject of active owner	ship. The seminar w
	Frequency of contribution		
	Quarterly or more frequently		
	O Biannually		
	O Annually		
	O Less frequently than annually		
	✓ Ad hoc		
	O Other		
	☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on	responsible investment	
	Encouraged the adoption of the PRI	(0500 500 4)	
	<ul> <li>Responded to RI related consultations by non-gove</li> <li>Wrote and published articles on responsible invest</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>A member of PRI advisory committees/ working gr</li> </ul>		
	Description		
		sh Investment Association handling issues in responbible Invest	ments.
	Frequency of contribution		
	✓ Quarterly or more frequently		

	_	On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisa Other, specify	ations (e.g. local SIFs)	
	O No			
SG	3 10.3	Describe any additional actions and initiatives th investment [Optional]	nat your organisation has taken part in during the reporting	g year to promote responsible
	We have pa	articipated in an ESG Conference in Berlin arranged by E	xpert Investor.	
SG 11		Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6
Priva	ate			
SG 12		Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
SG	12.1	Indicate whether your organisation uses investm	nent consultants.	
	_	e use investment consultants		
	No, we	do not use investment consultants.		
SG 13		Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
SG	3 13.1	Indicate whether the organisation carries out sce analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset a	enario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a d allocation, etc.).	description of the scenario
	Yes, in	order to assess future ESG factors		
		Describe		
		G issues is a component in some, but not all models. It dakey part of the credit analysis.	lepends on the asset class and strategy. For example in sc	overeign debt the ESG modelling
	_	order to assess future climate-related risks and opportur		
		organisation does not currently carry out scenario anal		
SG	3 13.2	Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issue geographic markets.	es in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets	between sectors or
		We do the following		
	☐ AI	ocation between asset classes		
	_	etermining fixed income duration		
	_	location of assets between geographic markets		
	_	her, specify		
	□ w	e do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocatio	n	
SG	3 13.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]		
	integrate E		ithin some of our EM equity strategies. Within the EM sov fluence our allocation between countries and also within c or we invest into sovereign green bonds.	
SG 14		Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
Priva	ate		'	
SG 14 C	cc	Voluntary		General
Priva	ate			
SG 15 Priva	ato	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Descriptive	PRI 1
SG 18	ate	Valuntam	Decariative	General
Priva	ate	Voluntary	Descriptive	General
SG 19		Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2, 6
SG	3 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation typically discl to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provio	loses asset class specific information proactively. Select t de a URL to the public information.	he frequency of the disclosure
		Listed equity - Incorporation		
		Do you disclose?		
	(	We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or	clients/beneficiaries	
		We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.		
	•	✓ We disclose it publicly		
		The information disclosed to clie	nts/heneficiaries is the same	

Disc	losure to public and URL
DISC	osure to public and orc.
	Disclosure to public and URL
	✓ Broad approach to ESG incorporation
	O Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
Quar	terly or more frequently
h	ttps://www.sydinvest.dk/ansvarlighed/ansvarlighed-i-sydinvest.aspx
	Listed equity - Engagement
	Do you disclose?
0	We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
	We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
~	We disclose to the public
	The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same
	✓ Yes
	O No
Diec	losure to public and URL
DISC	osure to public and one.
	Disclosure to public and URL
	☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy
	Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals
	<b>☑</b> Number of engagements undertaken
	☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
	☐ Breakdown of engagements by region
	✓ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives
	Examples of engagement cases
	Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a voting against management, divestment etc.)
	☑ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured
	Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
	□ Other information
Annı	ually
h	ttps://www.sydbank.dk/omsydbank/ansvarlighed-ny
	Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting
	Do you disclose?
0	We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
0	We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
~	We disclose to the public
	The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same
	✓ Yes
	O No
Disc	losure to public and URL
	Disclosure to public and URL
	O Disclose all voting decisions
1	
	O Disclose some voting decisions

	Fixed income
	Do you disclose?
0	We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
0	We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
~	We disclose to the public
	The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same
	✓ Yes
	O No
Die	Assume to multiple and LIDI
DIS	closure to public and URL
	Disclosure to public and URL
	O Broad approach to RI incorporation
	✓ Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used
1 -	

The detailed disclosure of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. We provide a general description of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. We provide a general description of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. We provide a general description of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. We provide a general description of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. We provide a general description of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. We provide a general description of the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. The RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. The RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies. The RI/ESG incorporation in fixed income concerns our EM sovereign debt strategies are also also as a second debt strategies. The RI/ESG incorporation in fixed incorporation in the RI/ESG incorporation in fixed incorporation in the RI/ESG incorporation in the Rmethodology both on our web-site as well as in a series of white papers. In addition, we issue a quarterly 2-page reporting on RI and allow our investors access to the more detailed RI reporting upon request. The current users of the reporting is institutional and semi-institutional clients, but the information is available for all types of investors.

Checks

🗹 If there are any messages below, please review them before continuing. If there are no messages below, please save this page and continue.

.ΕΙ Ο Ι		Manuatory	way
LEI 01.1		Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strateg breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategy or combination.	
		Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)	
	_	Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)	
	_	Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)	
	_	Screening and integration strategies	
		Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may e	estimate +/- 5%   100%
	_	Thematic and integration strategies	
	_	Screening and thematic strategies	
	_	All three strategies combined We do not apply incorporation strategies	
<u> </u>	_ ·		
EI 02		Voluntary Addit	ional Assessed PRI 1
Private			
EI 03		Voluntary Addit	ional Assessed PRI 1
Private			
EI 04		Mandatory Description	riptive PRI 1
LEI 04.1		Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally ma	anaged active listed equities.
<b>☑</b> Ne	egativ	ve/exclusionary screening	
	<b>♂</b> P	Product	
	<b>Y</b> A	Activity	
	<b>S</b>	Sector	
		Country/geographic region	
	<b>Y</b> =	Environmental and social practices and performance	
	<b>S</b>	Corporate governance	
		Description	
		all our equity funds we screen for nuclear products, cluster ammunition and land	
		nical profile. In this fund we screen and excludes for weapons, tobacco, alcohol, a	dult entertainment, gambling and fossil fuels.
<b>™</b> Po	ositive	e/best-in-class screening	
	<b>✓</b> P	Product	
		Activity	
		Sector	
	_	Country/geographic region	
	_	Environmental and social practices and performance	
	<b>Y</b> (	Corporate governance	
		Description	
	use Sus	2018 we launched a passively managed product reflecting the development in Me a best-in-class selection principle where the global top 100 companies with the stainlytics rating methodology is constructed into a Morningstar index. The fund toking error.	lowest ESG-risk and higest sustainability profile according to the
<b>☑</b> No	orms-	-based screening	
	<b>∀</b> (	UN Global Compact Principles	
	<b>▼</b> T	The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights	
	<b>V</b> I	International Labour Organization Conventions	
	<b>Y</b> (	United Nations Convention Against Corruption	
	☑ (	DECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	
	<b>S</b> 0	Other, specify	
		Paris Agreement	
		Description	
		r service provider screens for breaches of international conventions and norms. I	
	the	e company. If the engagement process is unsatisfactory we might exclude the co	
LEI 04.2		Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are m	
		a for the equity funds are established a couple of years ago and has not been cha s on our web site.	nged since. They are known to our investors through our policy

EI 05	Mand	datory Core Assess	ed	PRI 1			
LEI 05.1		Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is base	ed on robust analysis.				
<b>☑</b> Co	mpanies a	sive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and pare given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research o	on them and correct inaccuracies.				
similar. 🗹 Thi 🗹 Tra	r. iird-party Es ading platfo	earch and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to int ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund forms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.	d policies.				
decisio	decisions.  A periodic review of internal research is carried out.						
	her; specify one of the above						
LEI 05.3		Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purpos	ses.				
	arterly or n	more frequently					
	inually ss frequen	ntly than annually					
El 06 Private	Volun	ntary Additional As	ssessed	PRI 1			
El 08	Mand	datory Core Assess	ed	PRI 1			
LEI 08.1		Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and your investment analysis.	d G factors are systematically researched as	part of			
ESG is	issues	Proportion impacted by analysis					
Enviro	onmental	Environmental  ○ <10%  ✓ 10-50%  ○ 51-90%  ○ >90%					
Social	1	Social  ○ <10%  ✓ 10-50%  ○ 51-90%  ○ >90%					
Corpo Gover	orate rnance	Corporate Governance  ○ <10%  ✔ 10-50%  ○ 51-90%  ○ >90%					
El 09	Mand	datory Core Assess	ed	PRI 1			
☑ Col ☑ Thi ☐ A p ☑ Str	ompanies a portiodic revolution of the portiodic revolution of the portion of the profit of the prof	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is base sive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and pare given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research of ESG ratings are updated regularly eview of the internal research is carried out regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund must file of a portfolio against benchmark the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance	products on them and correct inaccuracies				
☐ Oth	her; specify one of the a	ýy					

O <10% O 10-50% ✓ 51-90% O >90%  LEI 09.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated. ✓ Quarterly or more frequently O Bi-Annually O Annually O Less frequently than annually  LEI 09.5 Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.  ✓ ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff O Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions O ther, specify None of the above  LEI 09.6 Additional information. [Optional] There is no further information.  EI 10 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Private  EI 11 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Private  EI 12 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 1	LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehen integration strategy.	sive ESG research as part your
O Annually O Less frequently than annually  LEI 09.5 Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.  ✓ ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff  ☐ ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff  ☐ Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions  ☐ Other, specify ☐ None of the above  LEI 09.6 Additional information. [Optional] There is no further information.  EI 10 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Core Assessed PRI 1  Private  EI 11 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Descriptive PRI 1  Private  EI 12 Voluntary  Descriptive PRI 1	○ 10-50%  ✓ 51-90%  ○ >90%  LEI 09.3	% Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are update	ed.
ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff    ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff   Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions   Other; specify   None of the above    LEI 09.6	O Bi-Annu O Annuall	nually ally frequently than annually	
There is no further information.  El 10 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Core Assessed PRI 1  Private  El 11 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Descriptive PRI 1  Private  El 12 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 1	✓ ESG info  ☐ ESG info  ☐ System:  ☐ Other; si  ☐ None of	information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector anal matic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment de is specify of the above	
Private  El 11 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Descriptive PRI 1  Private  El 12 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 1			
El 11 Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Descriptive PRI 1  Private  El 12 Voluntary Descriptive PRI 1		Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Core Assessed	PRI 1
	El 11	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose Descriptive	PRI 1
Private	El 12 Private	Voluntary Descriptive	PRI 1
El Checks  Checks  If there are any messages below, please review them before continuing. If there are no messages below, please save this page and continue.			

:A 01	Man	datory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 01.1		Indicate whether your o	organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).	
✓ Yes				
<b>▼</b> 105				
LEA	01.2	Attach or provid	de a URL to your active ownership policy.	
	) Atta	chment provided:		
•	<b>∕</b> URL	provided:		
	https	s://www.sydinvest.com/a	about-us/ethical-investment.aspx	
LEA	01.3	Indicate what yo	our active engagement policy covers:	
	<u></u>		proach to Active Ownership	
		Conflicts of interest		
			stewardship code requirements	
		Assets/funds covered b  Expectations and object	y active ownership policy	
		Engagement approach	rives	
	•	епуауетнент арргоаст		
		Eng	agement	
		<b>☑</b> ESG issues		
		Prioritisation of	engagement	
		✓ Methods of eng	pagement	
		☑ Transparency o	of engagement activities	
			nd monitoring process	
		☑ Insider informa		
		☑ Escalation strat		
		Service Provide		
		Other; (specify)		
	$\checkmark$	(Proxy) voting approach		
		Voti	ng	
		<b>☑</b> ESG issues		
			nd scope of voting activities	
		✓ Methods of vot		
		☑ Transparency o	of voting activities	
		🗹 Regional voting	practice approaches	
		Filing or co-filing	g resolutions	
		🗹 Company dialo	gue pre/post-vote	
		☐ Decision-makin	g processes	
		☐ Securities lendir	ng processes	
		Other; (specify)		
		Other		
		None of the above		
O No				
LEA 01.4		Do you outsource any o	of your active ownership activities to service providers?	
O Yes				
✓ No				
1	14-	datani		DDI 4.00
EA 02	Man	datory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3
LEA 02.1		Indicate the method of	engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.	
Type of	engag	ement	Reason for interaction	1
7,100	3.3			1
			To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues	
Individua	al / Inte	ernal staff engagements	To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure	
			To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management	
			✓ We do not engage via internal staff	

Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>□ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues</li> <li>□ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure</li> <li>□ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management</li> <li>☑ We do not engage via collaborative engagements</li> </ul>
Service provider engagements	<ul> <li>✓ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues</li> <li>✓ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure</li> <li>✓ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management</li> <li>□ We do not engage via service providers</li> </ul>

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

✓ Yes

# LEA 02.3 Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf. We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement We discuss the rationale for the engagement We discuss the objectives of the engagement We select the companies to be engaged with We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies We discuss the next steps for engagement activity We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider Other; specify We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

O No

#### LEA 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

We have taken a strategic decision to establish a long-term partnership with an experienced, resourceful and global ESG research and stewardship service provider. Their role is to conduct all engagement efforts on our behalf. Secondly, they provides us with critical ESG research, data and analytical tools that as well as expert advice and consultation services. This enable us to anlayse and prioritise the engagement effort with our partner.

Normally, there are a pool of ongoing cases that continues from year to year and these are obviously followed up. In addition to that we typically come across a number of incidents from suspected breaches of norms and other cases where we, or the service provider, decides to initiate a contact and consider a new engagement. There can be several cases (new and ongoing) in the same company where the need for follow-up varies. We use this knowledge to plan the engagement process with the engagement partner.

Our policy for the actual engagement process itself is therefore to evaluate and decide on the outcomes based upon the reporting and the ongoing dialogue we have with our partner. Only in rare circumstances would be directly involved in the dialogue with the company, since the chances of success increase significantly from the engagement manager's ability to gradually to build trust and confidence with the engagement target.

We monitor the interactions and frequency of the contacts with the engages companies. This includes a distinction between the number of contacts made by email and phone and actual meetings (including video and teleconferences). Meeting senior management is also different from meeting IR, though we accept that it varies greatly from case to case.

In this regard, we have conducted several trips and onsite visits to the engaged companies as part of the service provider's scheduled trips to e.g. an emerging market region.

LE/	EA 03 Mandatory		Manda	tory	Core Assessed PRI 2	
	LEA 03.1 Indic			ndicate whether your organisation has a formal process for ide	ntifying and prioritising engagements.	
	✔ Yes					
		LEA	03.2	Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engag	ments for each type of engagement.	
			Type of engagem	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements		

	Service-provider engagements
	<b>☑</b> Geography/market of the companies
	✓ Materiality of the ESG factors
	<b>☑</b> Exposure (size of holdings)
	▼ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred
Service-	Responses to divestment pressure
provider	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
engagements	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Client request
	☑ Breaches of international norms
	Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers

Additional information. [Optional]

Sydinvest conduct screening on all holdings. In 2019, we monitored 983 companies across equities and corporate bond issuers through our ESG analysis tools. We regard this as the first step is to get a general idea of the number of companies where possible or identified violations of norms exist.

In this context assessing the severity in the individual cases is quite important. Secondly, we analyse the level and trend of ESG risk in the companies with the most significant norm violations to ensure the engagement can be based upon both the incident as well as on a broader assessment of the ESG policy

n	nanagement of the com	ny. Finally, we reconcile this with the underlying investment case and position in the respective strategy.
EA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed PRI 2
LEA	04.1 Indica	rhether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.
:	Service-provider engag	<ul> <li>✓ All engagement activities</li> <li>○ Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>○ Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>○ We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers</li> </ul>
LEA	04.2 Additi	l information. [Optional]
h o a W	uman rights, employee bjectives are defined fro cknowledgement of the	on a proactive risk-assessed agenda, e.g. the company has activities in markets and/or locations where the risks to violation of a business ethics, environmental standards etc. is higher than in e.g. developed markets. Alternatively, and most commonly, a suspected or confirmed violation of an international norm. In such a case it will normally be an objective to seek cident from the company and thereafter to get the company to present actual steps to deal with the situation. bjectives from the evidence collected by our service partners and in rare cases from alternative sources such as media, brokers
EA 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed PRI 2
LEA	05.1 Indica	hether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.
:	Service-provider engag	<ul> <li>✓ Yes, in all cases</li> <li>○ Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>○ Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>○ We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers</li> </ul>
LEA	05.2 Indian	
	U5.2 Indica	whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

## Additional information. [Optional]

Other; specify

We make a strong effort in monitoring the effect of engagement. From our experience, this also achieves the best long-term results since the management of a company often can see benefits of a constructive dialogue with stakeholders.

☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis

We monitor all engagement efforts in an online analysis tool provided by our service provider. The Global Engagement View is a comprehensive data repository where portfolio managers can follow the status and progress with all companies in their portfolios. The system stores all data on each case per company and allows a full overview of the case, the evidence of the case, all progress updates, access to copies of the company contact (emails as well as minutes from meetings) and current assessment of the situation. It is possible to interact with the responsible engagement manager and to evaluate the overall performance of the progress in the effort.

In the few cases where there is no or continuously poor performance for a period of up to 12 months, the engagement team will remain in contact with the

company for an additional up to 24 months after which the recommendation will be to discontinue the relationship.

We evaluate performance from a combination of the company's willingness to engage in the dialogue and its effort in making changes. In 2019 we evaluated 53 cases as showing good performance and 33 with average performance. Only 4 was recorded with outright negative / poor performance.

LEA	06		Mandatory			Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
	LEA 06	6.1	Indicate whether	er your organis <u>ation has an e</u>	scalation strategy whe	n engagements are unsuccessful.	
		Yes					
	Ť	. 55					
		LEA 0	5.2 Indicate	the escalation strategies use	ed at your organisation	following unsuccessful engagements.	
			Collaborating with oth	ner investors			
		_	Issuing a public state				
			Filing/submitting a sh				
			3 3	election of the relevant direct			
		_		ard of directors or the annua	l financial report		
			Seeking legal remedy	ns for election to the board			
			Reducing exposure (s				
			Divestment	120 01 110.1am.go)			
			Other; specify				
	0	No					
	LEA 06			mation. [Optional]			
	est	tablish a		rith the company has been ex		up to 36 months and through which all effo will typically be to disengage with the comp	
LEA	07		Voluntary			Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
	LEA 07	7.1	Indicate whether	r insights gained from your o	organisation`s engager	nents are shared with investment decision	-makers.
	Ty	ype of e	ngagement	Insights shared			
				✓ Yes, systematically			
	Se	ervice-p	rovider engagements	O Yes, occasionally			
				O No			
ĺ	LEA 07	7.2	Indicate the pra	ctices used to ensure that in	formation and insights	gained through engagements are shared v	with investment decision-
	✓	Involvin	g investment decision-	makers when developing an	engagement programi	me	
	$\checkmark$	Holding	investment team mee	tings and/or presentations			
	$\checkmark$	Using I	T platforms/systems tl	nat enable data sharing			
		Internal	process that requires	portfolio managers to re-bala	ance holdings based or	n interaction and outcome levels	
		Other; s	specify				
		None					
	LEA 07	7.3	Indicate whethe	r insights gained from your o	organisation's engagen	nents are shared with your clients/benefici	aries.
	Т	ype of e	engagement	Insights shared			
				O Yes, systematically			
	Se	ervice-p	rovider engagements	<ul><li>Yes, occasionally</li></ul>			
				O No			
li	LEA 07	7 4	Additional infor	mation. [Optional]		_	_
					ovided by our service r	provider. The Global Engagement View is a	comprehensive data
						panies and related cases within their portfo	'
			~			vider and at least one senior engagement m	*
	sup	pplemen	t the information acces	sible within the IT platform.	The attendees in the m	oon an updated overview of the primary case eetings are the equity portfolio manageme	
	ma	anageme	nt with accountability t	owards the Responsible Inve	estment Committee as	well as investor relations representatives.	
LEA	08		Mandatory			Gateway	PRI 2
	LEA 08	8.1	Indicate whethe	r you track the number of yo	ur engagement activiti	es.	
	Ty	ype of e	ngagement	Tracking engagements			

	Service	e-provider engagements	_	ervice-provider engagements in full nber of our service-provider engagements	
	LEA 08.2	Additional infan	notion [Ontional]		
	The Glol	bal Engagement View syst e of the case, all progress (	ipdates, access to copies of the comp	ores all data on each case per company and any contact (emails as well as minutes fror manager and to evaluate the overall perforr	m meetings) and current assessment of
	assessn		engagement efforts on a similar detail	portal which will allow an even deeper integ led level. This will connect the analysis of si	
	A 09	Mandatory to Report, \	oluntary to Disclose	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	Private				
LE	A 10	Voluntary		Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	Private				
LEA	A 11	Voluntary		Descriptive	PRI 2
	Private				
LEA	A 12	Mandatory		Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 12.1	Indicate how yo	u typically make your (proxy) voting de	ecisions.	
		Approach			
				in an angle and the control of the c	
			-	sions without the use of service providers.	le our veting decisions
	<b>_</b>	we fille service providers	who make voting recommendations a	and/or provide research that we use to guid	e our voting decisions.
		Base	ed on		
		O The service-provi	der voting policy we sign off on		
		<ul> <li>Our own voting p</li> </ul>	olicy		
		O Our clients` reque	ests or policies		
		O Other (explain)			
	0	We hire service providers	who make voting decisions on our bel	half, except in some pre-defined scenarios v	where we review and make voting
		cisions.		,	
	0	We hire service providers	who make voting decisions on our bel	half.	
	LEA 12.2		view of how you ensure that your agre e policy are made.	ed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving	details of your approach when
	We cond	duct voting ourselves throu	igh a service provider platform and the	erefore have the final word on the votings.	
LE	A 14	Voluntary		Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	Private				
LEA	A 15	Mandatory		Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 15.1			the reporting year in which where you or th	e service providers acting on your
		behalf raised co	ncerns with companies ahead of votir	ng.	
	O 100°	%			
	O 99-7				
	O 74-5				
	O 49-2				
	O 24-1				
	✓ Neit	her we nor our service pro	vider(s) raise concerns with companie	s ahead of voting	
	LEA 15.3	Additional infor	nation. [Optional]		
				lirectly to the company's management. We of our holdings in most of the invested com	
LE/	A 16	Mandatory	numbuting, not at least given the size of	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 16.1			e service provider(s) acting on your behalf, ent recommendations. Indicate this as a pe	
	O 100°	%			
	O 99-7	75%			
	O 74-5	50%			
	O 49-2	25%			

			lid not abstain or vote against management recommend	
. 17	Mandatory		Core Assessed	Р
LEA 17.		ted equities in which you or your serv cast during the reporting year.	ice provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting in	structions, indicate the percer
<b>~</b> \	We do track or collec	ct this information		
		Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)		
	4%			
		Specify the basis on which this percent	entage is calculated	
	O Of the total i	number of ballot items on which you		
		number of company meetings at which		
	O Of the total	value of your listed equity holdings or	which you could have voted	
0 1	We do not track or c	ollect this information		
LEA 17.	2 Evoloi	n your reason(s) for not voting on cer	tain haldinga	
			tain noidings	
	Shares were blocked	terials not received on time		
_	votice, ballots of fria	iterials flot received on time		
_		tions (non-home market)		
✓ (				
	Conflicts of interest			
✓	Holdings deemed to	o small		
	Administrative impe	diments (e.g., power of attorney requi	rements, ineligibility due to participation in share placen	nent)
	Client request			
	Other (explain)			
LEA 17.	3 Additi	onal information. [Optional]		
		dialogue to express our opinion and	concerns directly to the company's management. We do en the size of our holdings in most of the invested compa	
		dialogue to express our opinion and		anies.
and	Most effective mear	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give	en the size of our holdings in most of the invested compa	anies.
and 18 LEA 18.	Most effective mear	dialogue to express our opinion and ns of communicating, not at least give te whether you track the voting instru	en the size of our holdings in most of the invested compa	anies.
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indica	dialogue to express our opinion and his of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.	en the size of our holdings in most of the invested compa	anies. P have issued.
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give te whether you track the voting instruction  Of the voting instructions that you a were:	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indicates, we track this information to the control of	dialogue to express our opinion and his of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instruction of the voting instructions that you a were:	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate wotes castFor (supporting) management recommendate	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indicates, we track this information to the control of	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give te whether you track the voting instruction  Of the voting instructions that you a were:	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate wotes castFor (supporting) management recommendate	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2  Voting instru 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions	dialogue to express our opinion and his of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instruction of the voting instructions that you a were:	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate wotes castFor (supporting) management recommendate	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 118	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2  Voting instrue 98% Against (opp 2%	dialogue to express our opinion and his of communicating, not at least give te whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate wotes castFor (supporting) management recommendate	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0%  No, we do not track the	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instruction.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  uctionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf land/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 118	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0%  No, we do not track the	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of cosing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate wotes castFor (supporting) management recommendate	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and 18 LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indica Ves, we track this inf LEA 18.2  Voting instruges  98%  Against (opp 2%  Abstentions 0%  No, we do not track this In case	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of cosing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf land/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items
and .18  LEA 18.	Voluntary  Indica Ves, we track this inf LEA 18.2  Voting instruges  98%  Against (opp 2%  Abstentions 0%  No, we do not track this In case	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of cosing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf land/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items tions
and 18  LEA 18.  LEA 18.  100	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0%  No, we do not track to  In case engage  Mandatory	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf ind/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations  sinst management recommendations, indicate the percommendations.	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items tions  entage of companies which yo
and 18  LEA 18.  LEA 18.  100  19  LEA 19.	Voluntary  Indica  Ves, we track this inf  LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0%  No, we do not track to 3  In case engage  Mandatory  Indica	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate to the cast of the commendations.  The commendations is a size of the commendations are commendations.	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items tions  entage of companies which yo
and (18)  LEA 18.  O 1  LEA 18.  100  LEA 19.	Voluntary  Indica Ves, we track this inf LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0% No, we do not track to In case engage  Mandatory  Indica Ves	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf ind/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations  sinst management recommendations, indicate the percommendations.	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items tions  entage of companies which yo
and 18  LEA 18.  LEA 18.  100  19  LEA 19.	Voluntary  Indica Ves, we track this inf LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0% No, we do not track to In case engage  Mandatory  Indica Ves	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf ind/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations  sinst management recommendations, indicate the percommendations.	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items tions  entage of companies which yo
and (18)  LEA 18.  O 1  LEA 18.  100  LEA 19.	Voluntary  Indica Ves, we track this inf LEA 18.2  Voting instruence 98% Against (opp 2% Abstentions 0% No, we do not track to In case engage  Mandatory  Indica Ves	dialogue to express our opinion and as of communicating, not at least give the whether you track the voting instructions.  Of the voting instructions that you a were:  actionsBreakdown as percentage of osing) management recommendation this information.	Additional Assessed  actions that you or your service provider on your behalf ind/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate  votes castFor (supporting) management recommendations  sinst management recommendations, indicate the percommendations.	have issued.  the proportion of ballot items tions  entage of companies which yo

		Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 01.	.1		ch ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed inc d (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies	
		0	Screening alone	
	SSA	0	Thematic alone	
		0	Integration alone	
S		100	Screening + integration strategies	
		0	Thematic + integration strategies  Screening + thematic strategies	
		0	All three strategies combined	
		0	No incorporation strategies applied	
		0	Screening alone	
		0	Thematic alone	
	Corporate (non- financial)	0	Integration alone	
		100	Screening + integration strategies	
		0	Thematic + integration strategies	
		0	Screening + thematic strategies	
		0	All three strategies combined  No incorporation strategies applied	
		0	No incorporation strategies applied	
		0	Screening alone	
		0	Thematic alone  Integration alone	
		0	Screening + integration strategies	
S	Securitise	100	Thematic + integration strategies	
		0	Screening + thematic strategies	
		0	All three strategies combined	
		0	No incorporation strategies applied	

#### FI 01

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

ESG Philosophy & Investment Integration

In general, we consider investments as a discipline that involves identification and pricing of risk. We therefore regard ESG as any other investment risk. By including ESG analysis into our investment process, we gain a deeper understanding of factors that potentially can reveal hidden risks to the expected financial gain on the investment strategy. This can be strengthen through our role as responsible investors who engage in active ownership.

For fixed income the approach most suitable for this purpose is a combination of screening for ESG indicators across issues as well as an integration into the investment process. ESG analysis is an important source of knowledge that can identify investments that potentially could cause reputational risks to our investors and ourselves as investment managers. As responsible investors we seek to influence companies through dialogue.

For the consideration of sovereign fixed income, the option to act as engaging investors is very limited if not impossible; however, our approach for this asset class is still to regard ESG as a risk factor as far as possible. Analysis and screening of states typically involves a number of proprietary score criteria, international sanctions and in exclusion of certain countries

#### EL 01.3

#### Additional information [Optional]

Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose

Sydbank's ESG screening is based upon a country data universe that combines information on political, social and environmental variables as well as fundamental economic data. In all aspects of the ESG research, Sydbank takes focus on both the level as well as the change in ESG factors through time. Countries that improve their ESG level will typically see their credit risk fall, which again is a key narrative for the fundamental benefits of emerging market debt investing.

Sydbank's ESG framework is designed to fit most types of emerging market debt portfolios. Using the same underlying data universe and research philosophy, the EM Debt Team has developed two methodologies, CORE and PLUS that only differ in how they approach the normative and quantitative criteria used to construct the investment universe as well as how it integrates the UN 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Core Assessed

PRI 1

Pri	vate									
FI 03		Mar	ndatory	Additional Assessed	PRI 1					
F	1 03.1		Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:							
		Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services								
	$\checkmark$	Issuers are	given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG r	research on them and correct inaccuracies						
	$\checkmark$	Issuer infor	mation and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG resea	rch is accurate						
	$\checkmark$	Internal auc	lits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systemat	ic way.						
	$\checkmark$	A materialit	y/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that inclu	des all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector	country.					
	$\checkmark$	Other, spec	fy							
			specify description							
		We hav	e a quarterly assessment of our proprietary model for Sovereigns.							
		None of the								
F	1 03.2		Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among yo	our investment team.						
	<b>∀</b>	✓ ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff								
	$\checkmark$	<b>☑</b> ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms								
	$\checkmark$	SSG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents								
	$\checkmark$	Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings								
	$\checkmark$	Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions								
		Other, specify								
		None of the	above							
F	1 03.3		Additional information. [Optional]							

Sydinvest regularly conducts review of the methodology of the ESG screening and analysis. For corporate bonds the majority of research is conducted with the use of acces to ESG research on companies and portfolio analysis tools (e.g. Carbon Risk / Stranded Assets analysis) through our third party research and engagement provider with whom we hold regular assessment meetings.

For SSA, the deeper ESG research is conducted in-house by our own team. We conduct the screening and share research on all countries directly with the portfolio management team, hence the conclusions and risk assessments are brought directly into the portfolio construction process. The portfolio managers will make active decisions on where to allocate given that some countries may be excluded they will actively seek out alternative opportunities where the combination of ESG factors and traditional investment valuation factors are equally or even more attractive.

FI 0	l 04		Mandatory				Gateway	PRI 1	
	FIC	04.1		Indicate the type of screening you conduct.					
					SSA	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised		
		Negative/exclusionary screening		<b>S</b>	lacktriangle				
		Positive/best-in-class screening		<b>&gt;</b>	€				
		Norms-based screening		creening	<b>⋖</b>	<b>∀</b>	₹		

#### FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

Corporate bond issuers are actively screened by portfolio managers and our service provider for breaches of international norms and conventions. Portfolio managers proactively analyze the portfolios for the level and attribution of company ESG risk and the development in ESG trends. This analysis is conducted both on existing holdings as well as on potential new opportunities. In terms of active ownership, the result of these screenings forms the basis for the priority of the engagement and ultimately forms the content of our exclusion list.

For sovereigns, Sydinvest has a proprietary research and screening approach that enable us to analyze countries' ESG level and their progress through time. The model involves a systematical screening of all countries for the strength in their short term and long term political and institutional development, their level of corruption as well as their environmental performance on level and progress. We use both a normative approach (e.g. analysis of sanctions) and a best-in-class approach from e.g. a country's progress on the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals.

#### FI 04.3 Additional information. [Optional]

For sovereign EM debt, Sydbank use the countries belonging to the JP Morgan EMBI Global Diversified Index (EMBI GD) as peer group. The 2019 version of the index consist of 72 emerging market countries that share quite different characteristics in terms of macro economical and political factors, but together they form a strong representation of low and middle income developing countries who issue sovereign bonds.

Sydbank use the EMBI GD Index to calculate relevant ESG score thresholds for quantitative screening metrics based on mean and standard deviations. This approach is used consistently on both Sydbank's proprietary ESG model and when analyzing the Sustainable Development Goals Index.

#### Basic Screening Methodology

The ESG screening process consist of four sequential steps:

- 1. Screen for relevant international sanctions.
- 2. Calculate screening metrics based on the mean and standard deviations of countries within the EMBI GD Index. The metrics define the Exclusion Zone and the Evaluation Zone.
- 3. Calculate the DELTA ESG score as a weighted momentum score of the last 5 years' change relative to the base year (current year). For SDGs, we analyse the progress score for the trends in average SDG Index scores.
- 4. Evaluate countries on their level as well as their delta relative to the screening metrics. Focus is to ensure that countries in the Exclusion Zone and/or the Evaluation Zone are treated fairly and consistently by the use of verifiable data.

The Sydbank ESG Analyst makes a final decision to include or exclude a country in the investment universe and documents the outcome in a quarterly ESG report. The analyst may discretionally assign Extra Points to a country to account for other relevant ESG factors that the data models is unable to account for. For example, due to long lags in data variables updates. This allows the ESG screening process to maintain a dynamic approach that fits the current political and economical dynamics of the underlying investment universe as well as the overall investment process. Extra Points are normally used in a limited number of incidents, but it depends entirely of the current and factual development in the country under consideration as well as the model's data and availability of other reliable sources.

FI 05			ary		Additional Assessed	PRI 1			
Pri	vate								
106		Manda	tory		Core Assessed	PRI 1			
F	1 06.1		Indicate whic	h systems your organisation has to ensure that f	fund screening criteria are not breached in fixe	ed income investments.			
	Type of	Type of screening		Checks					
				Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria					
				✓ We ensure that data used for the screen	ning criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	Negative		onary	Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria					
	screenin	g		✓ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions					
				☐ Other, specify					
				☐ None of the above					
				✓ Analysis is performed to ensure that issu	uers meet screening criteria				
				✓ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.					
		Positive/best-in-class screening		Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria					
	screenin			Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions					
				☐ Other, specify					
				☐ None of the above					
				☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issu	uers meet screening criteria				
				<b>☞</b> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.					
	Norms-b	ased sci	reening	<ul> <li>Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria</li> </ul>					
				Audits of fund holdings are undertaken	yearly by internal audit or compliance function	1S			
				Other, specify					
				☐ None of the above					

Sydinvest operate with multiple systems across the FI ESG integration process.

For corporate issuers and state-owned companies the primary screening tool is a service provider tool that scan companies for suspected breaches of international norms. In addition, portfolio managers include research and ESG risk numbers both in the form of individual company reports, portfolio calculations of attribution to ESG risk and individual ESG data points that is incorporated into proprietary portfolio systems. This set of ESG tools includes a comprehensive data access and allows for portfolio managers to obtain analyst reports and status monitoriing of incidents as well as relevant cross-reference to any ongoing engagement.

For soverigns the systems are proprietary and maintained by Sydbank following internal schedules for data updates, review of official sanctions etc. The ESG data is updated monthly for the most high frequency data variables while some are only updated annually as they are released from the source. The underlying raw data for the sovereign ESG data are typically the UN, World Bank, local data soruces etc. There is an ongoing process to ensure that data is consistent and documented by sources.

In case a company and/or a sovereign state is assigned on an exclusion list the relevant ISINs are programmed into Sydinvest's portfolio system and it will not be possible for the portfolio managers to trade in those securities as long as they remain on that list.

FI 10 Mandatory Descriptive PRI 1

#### FI 10.1 Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

ESG integration is conducted through the interaction of the ESG and macro analysis in the Sydbank EM Debt Team who are advisors to Sydinvest funds. They include the global ESG screening and exclusion of EM countries to ensure the research is conducted within the "investable universe" while still observing ESG trends in the universe of countries close to the exclusion level. ESG excluded benchmark and/or off-benchmark countries are included into the risk analysis as the team will discuss alternative investment candidates and their target risk properties to be included into the Research Agenda.

The Sydbank Sovereign ESG research process runs independently from the traditional country analysis conducted by portfolio managers and it is brought into the investment process through the initial global risk allocation as well as the deeper country analysis. Using the detailed evidence on the ESG score model as well as the views of in-house as well as external ESG researchers the portfolio managers can take relevant developments (positive or negative) into account from a risk/return perspective as well as analysing the ESG attributes of those countries who should be used as substitute investments for excluded countries.

The ESG research data comes from a number of sources. The in-house Sovereign ESG model, which has a detailed quantitative feature of both short and long term ESG/political developments are from Business Monitor International (BMI) who also provides a large pool of independent country research reports and direct access to local analyst and political experts. In addition the Team follows ongoing ESG research uch as e.g. the UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network and the Sustainable Developmen Goal Index.

The Sovereign ESG Score Model is Sydbank's primary data warehouse for ESG country data and it provides a thorough methodology to screen EM countries across multiple data variables on ESG indicators.

The ESG data variables are:

- Long-term political factors that characterize the scope of state, the civil freedom and policy system as well as the society; e.g. inequality, government spending etc.
- Short-term political and social factors that characterize the security and stability of the society from internal and external factors; e.g. political process, elections, short-term economic factors such as inflation etc.
- A Freedom House (FH) score that accounts for the country's political and civil freedom rights. Countries considered "unfree" are given a 0 weight whereas countries with higher levels of freedom are awarded scaled points according to their underlying FH Index.
- A Transparency International Corruption Index (TPIC) score that accounts for the country's level of perceived and actual corruption.
- An environmental score based on the Yale Environmental Performance Index (EPI) that measures a wide range of environmental level, efficiency and progress factors on the countries. The factor takes regional/geographical constraints as well as the time change into account.

The ESG Score Model calculate the data variables as a weighted score of the individual factors to a consolidated level of a country's ESG performance. It ranges from 0 to 100 where 100 is the best.

Sydbank's approach to the ESG inclusion to investment is active, genuine and completely in line with our Danish values of building responsible and sustainable investment solutions for our clients.

The process at Sydbank is in line with and complies with the guidelines set out by the Danish Business Authority's Council for Society Responsibility.

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.

SSA

As described above, the ESG integration is a mix of a quantitative model and qualitative analysis.

The Sydbank Sovereign ESG screening model and the Sydbank Country Score Model (COSMO) are structured upon more than 200 underlying data points taken from external sources such as Fitch Solutions, Transparency International, World Bank, Yale Environmental Performance Index and multiple market data feeds from Bloomberg. Typically, the models use aggregation of the underlying data into meaningful sub-categories or indices and then apply this to proprietary weighting schemes and calculations to arrive at final scores. The scores are typically normalized to 0 – 100 and serve as tools in the decision making process.

When the Team consider ESG and ESG impact on soverign bond investments thay focus in particular on distinguishing between what is an indirect ESG impact effect of the manager's research and investment decision and what is actually an intended ESG effect from the manager's side. For a consideration of e.g. the 2030 UN Sustainable Development Goals, it is important to consider what data is actually being reviewed and how that compare to the data indicators included in the SDG Index? If, for example, the investment research does not consider data that explains the depletion of fish stocks in the sea, then it is fair to argue that it has little or no value to the manager and hence the impact on SDG 14 "Life under Water" is null.

Following this methodology Sydbank has identified 4 out of the 17 SDGs with data indicators where there is an overlap (or similar types of data) and a connection with the description of the investment research conducted by the Sydbank team.

The overlaps are:

SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being

SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

SDG 9: Industry Innovation and Infrastructure

SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions

Please see www.sydinvest-em.com for a description of the EM debt investment process.

The inclusion of the SDG Index offers the analysis a very broad perspective on the ESG factors with a significant weight on the social (and indirectly economical) factors and to some extent environmental factors. The governance factor, representing a dominant factor in Sydbank's model is limited to only 2 SDGs; no. 16 and 17. By bringing the SDG analysis together with Sydbank's own model the analysis gains both breadth and depth while ensuring the screening of countries is conducted on a very extensive set of factors. It further raises the bar for qualification into the investment universe of the ESG+ process.

#### Corporate (non-financial)

The ESG integration for corporate fixed income is a mix of quantitative data inputs, analysis of contribution to ESG risk, carbon intensity and qualitative analysis. The efforts are backed up by integration with our active ownership approach to engage directly with issuers that have violated international norms. This effort is conducted on our behalf and together with other institutional investors by our external ESG service provider.

The corporate bond team actively source green bonds where such opportunities become available and where the relative valuation justifies an investment. At the moment the strategy does not include green bonds as an activistic tool, e.g. to promote a non-financial objective, but they expect companies to issue this type of debt more frequently and as such it is an opportunity to increase the ESG-integartion of the strategy.

The analysis of overall ESG risk and the key contributors in both the portfolio and in the wider benchmark is one of the key issues in the ESG integration. The key tools are data integration into Bloomberg and access to independent ESG research on the relevant issuers, secondly the portfolios are regularly screen to pick up companies that are suspected for (or have a confirmed) violation of international norms.

#### Securitised

Mandatory

The ESG integration for securitized fixed income is limited to a more normative screening approach. Here we draw upon the country screening from SSA and issuer screening from our external service provider. The relevant securities in this investment area are Danish mortgage and sovereign bonds who are AAA rated papers. As such, the ESG risk in this market is negligible.

However, we have recently seen an increase in green bonds in the securitized market in Denmark and it is an area where we expect to invest more in the future.

Core Assessed

				SSA	Corporate (non- financial)	Se
ESG analys	is is integrated into fundamental ana	lysis		<b>∀</b>	₹	
ESG analys	<b>Y</b>	<b>∀</b>				
ESG analys	is is used to adjust forecasted financ	ials and future cash flow estimates.			<b></b>	
ESG analys		<b>∀</b>	<b>⋖</b>			
An issuer`s priced in.	ESG bond spreads and its relative va	lue versus its sector peers are analysed to	find out if all risks are	<b>∀</b>	⊌	<b>Y</b>
The impact	of ESG analysis on bonds of an issue	er with different durations/maturities are	analysed.	<b>Y</b>	₹	✓
,	analysis and scenario analysis are ap and ESG-integrated security valuatior	plied to valuation models to compare the n.	difference between	<b>Y</b>		
ESG analys	is is integrated into portfolio weightin	ng decisions.		<b>⋖</b>		
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.					⊌	
The ESG pr of a benchr	<b>∀</b>					
Other, spec	ify in Additional Information				0	
N	Mandatory	Add	itional Assessed			F
	Indicate the extent to which 50	G issues are reviewed in your integration p	process.			
2.1	indicate the extent to which ES					
2.1	Environment	Social	Govern	nance	1	
2.1		Social	Govern		ernance	
2.1 SSA	Environment			Gove		
	Environmental  ✓ Systematically  Occasionally	Social  ✓ Systematically  Occasionally	· C	Gove Sys	ernance stematically casionally	
	Environmental  Systematically	Social  ✓ Systematically	· C	Gove Sys	ernance stematically	
SSA	Environmental  ✓ Systematically  Occasionally	Social  ✓ Systematically  Occasionally	· C	Gove Sys Occ Not	ernance stematically casionally	
SSA	Environmental  Systematically Occasionally Not at all	Social  ✓ Systematically  Occasionally  Not at all		Gove Sys Occ Not	ernance stematically casionally a at all	
SSA	Environmental  ✓ Systematically  ○ Occasionally  ○ Not at all  Environmental	Social  ✓ Systematically  ○ Occasionally  ○ Not at all		Gove Sys Occo Not Gove	ernance  Itematically  casionally  at all  crnance	

	Environmental	Social	Governance
Securitised	O Systematically	O Systematically	✓ Systematically
	O Occasionally	✓ Occasionally	Occasionally
	✔ Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all

2.2

Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

Explanation for methodology and data used in ESG integration:

The ESG model is based upon research and data into the Environmental, Social and Governance indicators.

#### Environment

The area is covered by the Yale Environmental Performance Index that weights 10% of the combined score. In order to capture some element of a time dimension, the Environmental Performance Index is not included as the standard score, it is augmented by the 10-year change in the score subtracted by the average improvement for all countries. This enhancement gives extra credit to countries were the score has risen more than average and punishes countries where there is no improvement in the environmental score.

The EPI is a very comprehensive index with deep transparency into country data and methodology disclosures. The significant value feature of the EPI is the attempt to account for efficiency of countries environmental initiatives and behavior. For example, it is not only the absolute level of nitrogen used in agriculture that matters. It is the efficiency of fertilizing, i.e. the amount NOT washed out into rivers and the sea that is relevant for "E" performance. The EPI have data measures that account for both level, efficiency and progress which makes it a relevant data set to use in the research.

#### Social:

The Sydbank Sovereign ESG and the COSMO model capturing the social factor through a number of data indicators from Business Monitor International. For example, a Security Risk Index takes the threat from crime and terrorism into account.

A fundamental element of the credit analysis on EM bonds is the analysis of economic growth and the expected future drivers of the economy. Hence, there is a very strong relationship between the social component and EM debt investments per se. Also, states have an active role in creating a foundation for society. Some states are more directly involved than others, but there is typically a strong correlation between the funding of the state and the development of e.g. infrastructure, critical industry sectors and education.

Beyond the narrow definitions of economic growth the "S" factor includes data that captures the element of labor and the conditions under which economic growth takes place. The COSMO model use two sets of short-term risk indices, one for the labor market and one for economic growth (derived from a range of private sector statistics and GDP numbers). The COSMO model further use three sub-indices derived from multiple underlying sources that cover logistical, operational and investment risk elements.

#### Governance:

The Sydbank Sovereign ESG and the COSMO model capturing the governance factor through indicators associated with the country's political stability and institutional strength that is crucial for its expected willingness to repay its debt. In this component, the Transparency International Index also enters directly with an independent weight of 15%.

The SDG Index contains nine different data indicators covering public efficiency and institutions, rule of law, security and social rights. The COSMO model has a set of five short and long-term political risk indicators as well as social stability indicators that also cover the rule of law.

The ESG PLUS Model includes a comprehensive screening and documentation section on all international sanctions that are considered relevant for sovereign bond investments. The sources for this research are the websites of the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the European Union. In addition, the process implement a set of normative rules that automatically exclude countries or quasi-sovereign bonds from the investment universe as follows:

- Countries who are UN or EU sanctioned on weapons and / or who has sanctions towards key members of the government
- Quasi-sovereign issuers whose operating revenue includes more than 5 % income from exploration, extraction, distribution or converting fossil
  fuels.

The justification for the selection is twofold. Firstly, the tighter interpretation of sanctions effectively increase the emphasis on the governance factor and secondly, the elimination of direct investment into state owned fossil fuel companies improves the climate footprint of the portfolio. This second filter should be considered in close relation to the portfolio's opportunity to invest into Green Bonds, which is a new but rapidly growing investment universe. However, Sydbank do not consider a country's pool of natural resources, inclusive fossil fuel resources, as being a "disqualifying" factor in relation to climate considerations since all countries have fair and legitimate rights to decide upon the use of these resources. Therefore, countries are only analyzed and scored with respect to how they respect the environment and how efficiently they utilize their natural resources.

An ESG strategy using the PLUS model approach may include a Climate Bond Initiative (CBI) certified green bond investment from an otherwise excluded country provided that this exclusion does not come from an outright ban on bond investments in the country. For example, if a country were only sanctioned on weapons it would not disqualify an investment in a green bond issued from a state owned company.

#### Corporate (non-financial)

For corporate fixed income the analysis of ESG risk factors and the key contributors is obtained through the research modules of our external ESG service providers. We run a systematic screening of the portfolio across the three main factors as well as the overall ESG risk score. The methodology of the scoring is to calculate the level of un-managed ESG risk within the company. This metric can then be evaluated relative to peers in the same sector, towards peer holdings in the portfolio and towards the benchmark. The screening always combine a combination of quantitative analysis, overview reporting that includes main contributors to portfolio ESG risk, best / worst ESG momentum and access to single company (or single sector) research papers.

#### Securitised

Our securitised fixed income investments are primarily in Danish mortgage bonds and they are therefore implicitly captured within the overall country ESG process (since it includes Denmark).

	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Core Assessed	PRI 2
Private			
FI 15	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
Private			
	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
Private			
FI 17	Mandatory to Report, Voluntary to Disclose	Additional Assessed	General
Private			
FI 18	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1,2
Private			

СМ	1 01		Mandatory	Additional Assessed	General				
	CM1 0	1.1	Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for	your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:					
		Third	party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparen	cv Report					
	_		party assurance over data points from other sources that have subseque						
		Third	party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (t	hat have been reported to the PRI this year)					
		Intern	al audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy o	f RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)					
	丞	Intern	al verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO	or the board)					
		~	Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified						
		_	Selected data has been internally verified						
	П		specify						
	_		of the above						
		140110							
СМ	1 02		Mandatory	Descriptive	General				
	CM1 0	2.1	We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transpare	ncy Report					
	0	Whole	PRI Transparency Report was assured last year						
	_		ed data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report						
	~	We die	d not assure last year`s PRI Transparency report						
	0	None	of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year						
CM	1 03		Mandatory	Descriptive	General				
0111	1 00		manadory	Бесоприте	General				
	CM1 0	3.1	We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific	o the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:					
	☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme								
		☐ We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are inc							
	_		parency Report						
			udit of holdings specify						
	Ū								
			CRS report, including the RI data and policy reporting, of the primary inve of the above	estment advisor Sydbank is subject to external audit.					
		None	or the above						
CM	1 04		Mandatory	Descriptive	General				
	CM1 0	04.1	Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI	ransparency report?					
			PRI Transparency Report will be assured						
			ed data will be assured						
			not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report						
СМ	1 07		Mandatory	Descriptive	General				
	CM1 0	7.1	Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selec	ted data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applie	s to				
			selected data please specify what data was reviewed						
	☐ CEO or ot☐ The Boar		r other Chief-Level staff						
			ment Committee						
			liance Function						
			G Team						
	_		ment Teams						
	_		Department (openity)						
	U	Utner	(specify)						